

Persuasive Essay Example

Essay Topic:

The necessity to close libraries to save the budget of the city.

Essay Questions:

1. Why does the necessity to save budget result in the possibility of closing libraries?
2. What impact can have the closed libraries on the education of the county?
3. What can be a solution for the problem of the libraries?

Thesis Statement:

The important issue that is being discussed now is the proposal to save budget funds by closing libraries, therefore cutting the expenses in the sphere of education.

Keep Our Libraries Open essay

Introduction: Nowadays Western New Yorkers are occupied trying to find a solution to an important problem that has “unexpectedly” knocked them out and they are quickly running out of time. The truth is that there has been found of huge gap in the Erie County’s budget. The truth is that now everyone is blaming the shortage on anything they can think of, but the solution is not being approached by such behavior. The 2005 budget shortage in the amount of 130 million dollars is a hard hit. Local legislators are trying to try to persuade the masses that the only way to restore the loss is by getting it from other budget components, and raising taxes. It is obvious that the inability to manage money that they showed, inability to come to a firm conclusion have influenced the lives of ordinary American people and its economy. The important issue that is being discussed now is the proposal to save budget funds by

closing libraries, therefore cutting the expenses in the sphere of education.

This does not seem a suitable runout for any New York resident. The motivation of this decision presented by Joel Giambra and local legislators is connected with the necessity to revise cost of Medicaid and other gaps that need to be filled in. After this unprofessional work they performed how do they expect New Yorkers to believe that they will direct tax dollars right this time? And the other truth is that their professionalism is under a big question mark. Closing libraries means prevent people from self-development and the cultural development of the American nation as a whole. It is so easy every time having problems with the budget to say: "O.K. We do not have to think - we will just raise taxes". For people that do not completely understand the importance of what is about to happen on January 1, 2005 we need to say that at the moment that the libraries close you will keep paying more taxes without guaranteed revision of Medicaid cost. You will also lose something very important- an opportunity to keep the culture of the American nation on an appropriate level. We will give other states the example how to behave when a possibly corrupt gap is found in their budget. What comes next after closing libraries? Thought Joel Giambra and local and state legislators have not come to a conclusion yet. The best solution is actually not allowing such situations to happen by letting the right people to manage the tax dollars in a very effective way, by scrupulously revising patronage jobs and by following the track of all the budget departments. If all these things were made no gap would have existed. The great ideas of volunteering in libraries, "limiting the lending period to ten days and charging people a dollar per book" and giving "local businesses tax incentives to contribute to a fund dedicated towards the maintenance of all the libraries" are great but we want to contribute some changes into them. All these things are to be made components of a strong library system. If we take volunteering, for instance we propose to enter a benefit of 50% cost for lending a book and the cost should depend on the rarity of the book. The most important issue we want to discuss is that besides giving tax incentives to a legal entity giving it to physical persons. This would give a higher

guarantee that the libraries would be supported. A special committee may be formed to make a raise-fund under a very scrupulous control against corruption and appropriate usage. Of course you may ask how we suppose that physical persons will prefer to give their money to libraries instead of paying ordinary tax? Well, it is actually about the mentality of the nation in accordance to which people prefer to invest their money in certain field because they know that their money will, in this case, save a definite library, and not be used for anything else.

Conclusion: You can ask any person that is not an ignoramus in the financial field and you will see that people want to see what their earnings serve. They invest this money in themselves, in their children, in the cultural level of the country and this investment is going to make good changes. The library's account should be frozen for withdrawal before monthly expense estimation. All the extra money, if any, should be directed into making different actions for attracting people to visit the libraries, pay a dollar fee per book, or maybe a little more if the book is a rarity and give in back in 15 days with the service provided by volunteers. Does this way seem a real solution to you? A physical person may be important in this issue and make a big difference. All these problems could have been prevented by effective tax money usage. So in the future let us not correct the mistakes but simply not make them. Especially when it goes about the culture of the American nation!



Papers of outstanding quality
without any payments beforehand

ORDER NOW